

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/670,952	09/25/2003	Roland L. Schafer JR.	7784-000609 7068		
27572	7590 03/16/2006		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			HOLZEN, STEPHEN A		
	LD HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
	·		3644		

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/670,952	SCHAFER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Stephen A. Holzen	3644		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	h		Υ				
Before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen A. Holzen	3644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	nichever is later. In				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of experiod	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. ctension and the corresponding amount	E FIRST REPLY WAS F 136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr	TILED WITHIN ate extension fee interestion fee				
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comparison.	r than three months after the mailing da ).	ate of the final rejection,	even if timely filed,				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to I within the time period set forth in 3	o avoid dismissal of th 37 CFR 41.37(a).	ne appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		-					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,8-10,13 and 15-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary and				
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
Je Z							

TERI PHAM LUU SUPERVISORY

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant has argued that Brady does not teach a light system, an audio subsystem, a crew intereface and a controller. The examiner disagrees. The lighting system is defined in Figure 2 as #2155,Optionally, the area distribution box 2150 further operates to control the tapping unit 2130 in a similar way to that described in connection with the for the audio/video controller 2120, a crew interface (management terminal 1100),and at least one server 1200, and a plurality ofconfigurable computers or LRUs 1300, including a particular LRU 1300 which are cappable of controlled the subsystems.